# Heuristics And Biases The Psychology Of Intuitive Judgment

This book consists of articles from Wikia or other free sources online. Pages: 119. Chapters: Cognitive biases, Heuristics, Acquiescence bias, Affect heuristic, Anchoring, Anthropic bias, Apophenia, Attentional bias, Attitude polarization, Attribute substitution, Attributional bias, Availability heuristic, Bandwagon effect, Base rate fallacy, Bias blind spot, Clustering illusion, Cognitive bias, Cognitive distortions, Confirmation bias, Conjunction fallacy, Contrast effect, Cultural bias, Default standard unit bias, Disconfirmation bias, Dr Fox Effect, Egocentric bias, Emotional forecasting, Empathy gap, Endowment effect, Error management theory, Experimenter effect, Experimenter expectations, False consensus effect, False memory syndrome, Familiarity heuristic, Forer effect, Functional fixedness, Fundamental attribution error, Gambler's fallacy, Generation effect, Group-serving bias, Groupthink, Group attribution error, Halo effect, Hindsight bias, Hostile media effect, Hyperbolic discounting, Illusion of asymmetric insight, Illusion of control, Illusion of transparency, Impact bias, Implicit cognition, Inductive bias, Ingroup bias, Introspection illusion, Isolation effect, Just-world phenomenon, Lake Wobegon effect, List of cognitive biases, Loss aversion, Magical thinking, Mere exposure effect, Mindset, Misinformation effect, Negativity effect, Neglect of probability, Notational bias, Oedipus effect, Optimism bias, Outgroup homogeneity bias, Physical attractiveness stereotype, Picture superiority effect, Planning fallacy, Positivity effect, Primacy effect, Publication bias, Recency effect, Regression fallacy, Repetition bias, Response bias, Rosy retrospection, Selective perception, Self-deception, Self-serving bias, Serial position effect, Spacing effect, Status quo bias, Subject-expectancy effect, Subjective validation, Superstitions, Trait ascription bias, True-believer syndrome, Univariate bias, Valence effect, Wishful thinking, Worse-than-average...

Sebastian Serfas shows how cognitive biases systematically affect and distort capital investment-related decision making and business judgements. He provides a large number of examples that every business practitioner might encounter every day, demonstrates the detrimental effects through various empirical experiments, and outlines potential counterstrategies to mitigate these negative effects.

Rational Intuition explores the concept of intuition as it relates to rationality through mediums of history, philosophy, cognitive science, and psychology.

This volume presents the latest research on applying heuristics and biases to the areas of health, law, education, and organizations. Authors adopt a cross-disciplinary approach to study various theories.

The central goal of this volume is to bring the learning perspective into the discussion of intuition in judgment and decision making. The book gathers recent work on intuitive decision making that goes beyond the current dominant heuristic processing perspective. However, that does not mean that the book will strictly oppose this perspective. The unique perspective of this book will help to tie together these different conceptualizations of intuition and develop an integrative approach to the psychological understanding of intuition in judgment and decision making. Accordingly, some of the chapters reflect prior research from the heuristic processing perspective in the new light of the learning perspective. This book provides a representative overview of what we

currently know about intuition in judgment and decision making. The authors provide latest theoretical developments, integrative frameworks and state-of-the-art reviews of research in the laboratory and in the field. Moreover, some chapters deal with applied topics. Intuition in Judgment and Decision Making aims not only at the interest of students and researchers of psychology, but also at scholars from neighboring social and behavioral sciences such as economy, sociology, political sciences, and neurosciences.

Why are group decisions so hard? Since the beginning of human history, people have made decisions in groups—first in families and villages, and now as part of companies, governments, school boards, religious organizations, or any one of countless other groups. And having more than one person to help decide is good because the group benefits from the collective knowledge of all of its members, and this results in better decisions. Right? Back to reality. We've all been involved in group decisions—and they're hard. And they often turn out badly. Why? Many blame bad decisions on "groupthink" without a clear idea of what that term really means. Now, Nudge coauthor Cass Sunstein and leading decision-making scholar Reid Hastie shed light on the specifics of why and how group decisions go wrong—and offer tactics and lessons to help leaders avoid the pitfalls and reach better outcomes. In the first part of the book, they explain in clear and fascinating detail the distinct problems groups run into: They often amplify, rather than correct, individual errors in judgment They fall victim to cascade effects, as members follow what others say or do They become polarized, adopting more extreme positions than the ones they began with They emphasize what everybody knows instead of focusing on critical information that only a few people know In the second part of the book, the authors turn to straightforward methods and advice for making groups smarter. These approaches include silencing the leader so that the views of other group members can surface, rethinking rewards and incentives to encourage people to reveal their own knowledge, thoughtfully assigning roles that are aligned with people's unique strengths, and more. With examples from a broad range of organizations—from Google to the CIA—and written in an engaging and witty style. Wiser will not only enlighten you; it will help your team and your organization make better decisions—decisions that lead to greater success.

Researchers studying decision making have traditionally studied the phenomenon in the laboratory, with hypothetical decisions that may or may not involve the decision maker's values, passions, or areas of expertise. The assumption is that the findings of these well-controlled laboratory studies will shed light on the important decisions people make in their everyday lives. This book examines that assumption. The volume begins by covering four basic phases of decision making: setting or clarifying goals, gathering information, structuring the decision, and making a final choice. Comprehensive reviews of existing literature on each of these topics is provided. Next, the author examines differences in decision making as a function of several factors not typically discussed in the literature: the type of decision being made (e.g., legal, medical, moral) and the existence of individual differences in the decision maker (developmental differences, individual differences in style or temperament, differences as a function of expertise). The author then examines the topic of group decision making, contrasting it with individual decision making. The volume concludes with some observations and suggestions for improving peoples' everyday decision making. This book is intended for

use as a core textbook or supplement for courses in psychology, education, or allied disciplines. It will also be an invaluable resource for people who work with people making decisions in various applied settings, such as schools, universities, and health care centers.

So much to read, so little time? This brief overview of Thinking, Fast and Slow tells you what you need to know—before or after you read Daniel Kahneman's book. Crafted and edited with care, Worth Books set the standard for quality and give you the tools you need to be a well-informed reader. This short summary of Thinking, Fast and Slow by Daniel Kahneman includes: Historical context Part-by-part summaries Detailed timeline of key events Important quotes Fascinating trivia Glossary of terms Supporting material to enhance your understanding of the source work About Thinking, Fast and Slow by Daniel Kahneman: Nobel Prize-winning psychologist Daniel Kahneman explores the mysteries of intuition, judgment, bias, and logic in the international bestseller Thinking, Fast and Slow. His award-winning book explains the different ways people think, whether they're deciding how to invest their money or how to make friends. Kahneman's experiments in behavioral economics, in collaboration with cognitive psychologist Amos Tversky, led to a theory of two systems of thought: the fast thinking used when ducking a blow, and slow thinking that's better employed for making major life decisions. Applying these psychological concepts to different facets of our lives, Kahneman demonstrates how to better understand your own decision-making, and the choices made by others. The summary and analysis in this ebook are intended to complement your reading experience and bring you closer to great work of nonfiction. Tort law regulates most human activities: from driving a car to using consumer products to providing or receiving medical care. Injuries caused by dog bites, slips and falls, fender benders, bridge collapses, adverse reactions to a medication, bar fights, oil spills, and more all implicate the law of torts. The rules and procedures by which tort cases are resolved engage deeply-held intuitions about justice, causation, intentionality, and the obligations that we owe to one another. Tort rules and procedures also generate significant controversy—most visibly in political debates over tort reform. The Psychology of Tort Law explores tort law through the lens of psychological science. Drawing on a wealth of psychological research and their own experiences teaching and researching tort law, Jennifer K. Robbennolt and Valerie P. Hans examine the psychological assumptions that underlie doctrinal rules. They explore how tort law influences the behavior and decision-making of potential plaintiffs and defendants, examining how doctors and patients, drivers, manufacturers and purchasers of products, property owners, and others make decisions against the backdrop of tort law. They show how the judges and jurors who decide tort claims are influenced by psychological phenomena in deciding cases. And they reveal how plaintiffs, defendants, and their attorneys resolve tort disputes in the shadow of tort law. Robbennolt and Hans here shed fascinating light on the tort system, and on the psychological dynamics which undergird its functioning.

"Judgment pervades human experience. Do I have a strong enough case to go to trial? Will the Fed change interest rates? Can I trust this person? This book examines how, and how well, people answer such questions. The study of human judgment was transformed in the 1970s when Kahneman and Tversky introduced their 'heuristics and biases' approach. Their approach highlighted the reflexive mental operations that are

used to make complex problems manageable, and it generated a torrent of influential research in psychology - research that reverberated widely and affected scholarship in economics, law, medicine, management, and political science. This book compiles the most influential elements of psychological research in the heuristics and biases tradition. The various contributions critically analyze the initial work on heuristics and biases, supplement these initial statements with emerging theory and empirical findings in psychology, and point to the most promising areas of future research on judgment."--Publisher description (LoC).

Traditional Chinese edition of Thinking, Fast and Slow, Amazon Best Books of the Month, November 2011. Kahneman is psychology professor emeritus at Princeton University and the 2002 Nobel Prize in Economic Sciences. In Traditional Chinese. Annotation copyright Tsai Fong Books, Inc. Distributed by Tsai Fong Books, Inc. Integrating a decade-long program of empirical research with current cognitive theory, this book demonstrates that psychological research has profound implications for current debates about what it means to be rational. The author brings new evidence to bear on these issues by demonstrating that patterns of individual differences--largely ignored in disputes about human rationality--have strong implications for explanations of the gap between normative and descriptive models of human behavior. Separate chapters show how patterns of individual differences have implications for all of the major critiques of purported demonstrations of human irrationality in the heuristics and biases literature. In these critiques, it has been posited that experimenters have observed performance errors rather than systematically irrational responses; the tasks have required computational operations that exceed human cognitive capacity: experimenters have applied the wrong normative model to the task; and participants have misinterpreted the tasks. In a comprehensive set of studies, Stanovich demonstrates that gaps between normative and descriptive models of performance on some tasks can be accounted for by positing these alternative explanations, but that not all discrepancies from normative models can be so explained. Individual differences in rational thought can in part be predicted by psychological dispositions that are interpreted as characteristic biases in people's intentional-level psychologies. Presenting the most comprehensive examination of individual differences in the heuristics and biases literature that has yet been published, experiments and theoretical insights in this volume contextualize the heuristics and biases literature exemplified in the work of various investigators.

Powerful Hidden Forces (Biases) Impair Our Decisions. Here is a Comprehensive Collection Biases to Help You Understand How They Work and How to Overcome Them Are you a manager in the financial services sector, and wish to avoid a situation like the recent financial crisis? Do you know that all of us have mental blind spots which prevent us from being rational? If you have seen or read about the recent financial crisis that straddled across the globe and brought down some of the oldest and most venerated financial institutions in the world, then you need this book! In this book, I share authentic research findings on cognitive biases and how they impact our judgment. These are powerful biases that you must avoid in order to succeed. Improve Your Judgment by Knowing How Biases Work This book is a comprehensive guide on cognitive biases, with inputs from real academic research with full references. If you are a financial sector executive and want to learn how to improve decisions, then this book

is for you. In this book you will: \* Identify the most powerful cognitive biases that impair business and financial decisions \* Understand how cognitive biases work \* Learn techniques to overcome them Here are the answers to some questions you might have about this book: Q: What is this book about? A: This book is a guide on how to identify cognitive biases. In this book, you learn about powerful biases which afflict the world of business and banking. Understanding how biases work (Bias-in-Action) can help avoid these biases, and at times even use the presence of biases in our competitors to our advantage. Q: What kind of techniques will I get to learn in this book? A: This is a comprehensive collection of all empirically proven cognitive biases that impair our decisions. In this book, I bring out the most powerful cognitive biases that impair judgment. You will also get to learn about more biases that impair decisions in business and banking. Q: Do I need to have prior qualifications before I read this book? A: The only thing that is required is your keenness to learn. Some experience in the financial sector or knowledge of basic economics can make the grasping faster, but it is not a prerequisite. Every day that you delay is another day that you stagnate in your growth as a manager-leader. Take action now and buy this book by clicking the 'Buy now with 1-click' button

The Blackwell Handbook of Judgment and Decision Making is a state-of-the art overview of current topics and research in the study of how people make evaluations, draw inferences, and make decisions under conditions of uncertainty and conflict. Contains contributions by experts from various disciplines that reflect current trends and controversies on judgment and decision making. Provides a glimpse at the many approaches that have been taken in the study of judgment and decision making and portrays the major findings in the field. Presents examinations of the broader roles of social, emotional, and cultural influences on decision making. Explores applications of judgment and decision making research to important problems in a variety of professional contexts, including finance, accounting, medicine, public policy, and the law.

Research paper from the year 2012 in the subject Psychology - Methods, grade: 20, University of St Andrews, course: Behavioural Economics, language: English, abstract: This review essay explores different perspectives and conceptualizations of the study of heuristics, decision-making rules which operate under constrained time and computation (Kahneman, 2011). Two opposed models of heuristics that assume conditions of bounded rationality, the heuristics-and-biases and the fastand- frugal framework, are assessed. Whereas the former evaluates heuristics in terms of logical rationality and postulates that humans exhibit predictable fallacies in judgement, the latter focuses on ecological validity, and suggests that humans possess an adaptive toolbox of evolutionary developed decision-making rules which enable better decision making. Finally, alternative explanations and limitations of existing research programs will be explored, concluding with a demand for a rigorous evaluation of experimental designs as well as outlining conditions for a possible synthesis.

Group polarization—the tendency of groups to incline toward more extreme positions than initially held by their individual members—has been rigorously studied by social psychologists, though in a way that has overlooked important philosophical questions. This is the first book-length treatment of group polarization from a philosophical perspective. The phenomenon of group polarization raises several important

metaphysical and epistemological questions. From a metaphysical point of view, can group polarization, understood as an epistemic feature of a group, be reduced to epistemic features of its individual members? Relatedly, from an epistemological point of view, is group polarization best understood as a kind of cognitive bias or rather in terms of intellectual vice? This book compares four models that combine potential answers to the metaphysical and epistemological questions. The models considered are: group polarization as (i) a collective bias; (ii) a summation of individual epistemic vices; (iii) a summation of individual biases; and (iv) a collective epistemic vice. Ultimately, the authors defend a collective vice model of group polarization over the competing alternatives. The Philosophy of Group Polarization will be of interest to students and researchers working in epistemology, particularly those working on social epistemology, collective epistemology, social ontology, virtue epistemology, and distributed cognition. It will also be of interest to those working on issues in political epistemology, applied epistemology, and on topics at the intersection of epistemology and ethics.

The Biased BrainWe like to think of ourselves as rational. But research in economics and psychology has shown that human beings are systematically biased. They misjudge situations in fairly regular patterns. Our rationality is limited or 'bounded'. Often we are ignorant of these limits. Biases in Banking These shortcuts and errors of judgment (heuristics and biases) affect the untrained mind. But what about trained professionals, such as bankers and stock brokers? Do they also fall for these? Research shows that even trained professionals like bankers and financial service providers fall for these biases. The biases originated as shortcuts to decision making which was crucial from an existential point of view. Thus they are deep seated and exist even today. That is how even trained personnel can fall victim unless they look out. Knowing is Half the Battle Won Research shows that it is easier to identify these in others. Bankers might figure out the biases in their customers. But what about their own biases? Once we are able to identify biases in others, it becomes easy for us notice them in ourselves. Then we can plan an action to limit their damage. Know Thy Enemy This compilation presents 101 biases and heuristics frequently occurring in the world of banking and financial services. It suggests simple ways that can help avoid these biases, and at times even leverage them to our advantage. FREE Bonus!Upon buying this paperback, you get a copy of its Kindle eBook, absolutely FREE! So, why wait, buy this book NOW, and read on...

This volume contains the revised papers of an international symposium on research on fallacies, biases, and the development of decision behavior under uncertainty. The papers are organized in five main sections. The Introduction outlines the conceptual framework and how three of the sections - Cognitive Decision Research, Social Interaction, and Development and Epistemology - are interrelated and also how new fields, such as research into developmental questions, can be productively integrated. In the fifth section Comments are collected, which evaluate the impact of the contributions on decision research itself, and also on cognitive psychology, social psychology, economic theory, ant the discipline of mathematics education.

ABOUT THE BOOK Daniel Kahneman is an Israeli-American cognitive psychologist who has made numerous contributions to psychology over the course of half a century. His work has been highly influential within psychology, but also within a broad range of

related disciplines, especially economics and social sciences. He laid the groundwork for what has become known as behavioral economics, which challenges the prevalent conception in economics of human beings as entirely rational agents who will always work towards maximizing utility for themselves. In 2002 he was awarded the Nobel Prize for economics. Kahneman's major achievements are in the area of psychology known as the "heuristics and biases" approach. A heuristic can be thought of as an evolved mental shortcut or rule of thumb for dealing with a particular problem. The benefit of heuristics is that they are very efficient, but they have the disadvantage of frequently being misleading, resulting in flawed decision-making and judgement. EXCERPT FROM THE BOOK Kahneman graduated after two years and was drafted into the Israeli Defence Force in 1954. As the IDF's only psychology graduate, he was given the job of assessing new recruits to see where they were best suited to serve. This experience would be a formative one for his later thinking. Part of his role was, with his colleagues, to administer tests to new recruits. The belief was that these tests, and the judgements of the testers, were a reliable indication of future performance. However, when Kahneman came to statistically examine the effectiveness of the tests for placing recruits in the right jobs, he discovered that they were next to useless. This was Kahneman's first discovery of how heuristics create biases. The intuitive appraisal of the testers was basically worthless for determining their suitability for military roles, yet they refused to abandon the process; a phenomenon Kahneman calls the "illusion" of validity." When he was given the job of devising a structured interview for the soldiers, he discovered that although the interview produced much better results than the judgement calls of his peers, they refused to abandon their intuitions, and complained about being reduced to interviewing machines. The interview process Kahneman created is still in use today, more that half a century later... Buy a copy to keep reading!

Amos Tversky and Daniel Kahneman's 1974 paper 'Judgement Under Uncertainty: Heuristics and Biases' is a landmark in the history of psychology. Though a mere seven pages long, it has helped reshape the study of human rationality, and had a particular impact on economics – where Tversky and Kahneman's work helped shape the entirely new sub discipline of 'behavioral economics.' The paper investigates human decision-making, specifically what human brains tend to do when we are forced to deal with uncertainty or complexity. Based on experiments carried out with volunteers, Tversky and Kahneman discovered that humans make predictable errors of judgement when forced to deal with ambiguous evidence or make challenging decisions. These errors stem from 'heuristics' and 'biases' - mental shortcuts and assumptions that allow us to make swift, automatic decisions, often usefully and correctly, but occasionally to our detriment. The paper's huge influence is due in no small part to its masterful use of high-level interpretative and analytical skills expressed in Tversky and Kahneman's concise and clear definitions of the basic heuristics and biases they discovered. Still providing the foundations of new work in the field 40 years later, the two psychologists' definitions are a model of how good interpretation underpins incisive critical thinking.

Over the last 40 years the concept of judgment and decision making received increasing attention from both scholars and the professional field. It started in the beginning of the '70's of the previous century when Kahneman and Tversky published a

number of papers (Tversky and Kahneman, 1971, Kahneman and Tversky, 1972, Kahneman and Tversky, 1973) where they presented their heuristics and biases approach to judgment and decision making under uncertainty. Ever since Kahneman and Tversky published their results in the beginning of the 1970's "the heuristics and biases program [...] spread beyond academic psychology, affecting theory and research across a range of disciplines including economics, law, medicine, and political science" (Gilovich & Griffin, 2002). Although the applicability of the heuristics and biases approach is tested in a number of fields (for instance medicine and law) there are still a lot of disciplines that need to be studied. This book presents the process of judgment and decision making within one specific discipline: country risk assessment when executed by decision makers in small and medium sized enterprises when assessing international business opportunities.

Many studies in cognitive psychology have provided evidence of systematic deviations in cognitive task performance relative to that dictated by optimality, rationality, or coherency. The texts in this volume present an account of research into the cognitive biases observed on various tasks: reasoning, categorization, evaluation, and probabilistic and confidence judgments. The authors have attempted to discern the contribution of the study of bias to our understanding of the cognitive processes involved in each case, rather than proposing an inventory of the different types of biases. A special section has been devoted to studies on the correction of biases and cognitive aids.

Theory of Mind (ToM) is the term used for our ability to predict and explain the behaviour of ourselves and others. Accounts of this theory have so far fallen into two competing types: Simulation Theory and 'Theory Theory'. In contrast with Theory Theory, Simulation Theory argues that we predict behaviour not by employing a model of people, but by replicating others' thoughts and feelings. This book presents a novel defence of Simulation Theory, reviewing the major challenges against it and positing the theory as the most effective method for exploring how we know each other and ourselves. Drawing on key research in the field, chapters reopen the debates surrounding Theory of Mind and cover a variety of topics including schizophrenia with implications for experimental social psychology. In the past, one of the greatest criticisms against Simulation Theory is that it cannot explain systematic error in Theory of Mind. This book explores the rapidly developing heuristics and biases programme, pioneered by Kahneman and Tversky, to suggest that a novel bias mismatch defence available to Simulation Theory explains these systematic errors. Simulation Theory: A psychological and philosophical consideration will appeal to a range of researchers and academics, including psychologists from the fields of cognitive, social and developmental psychology, as well as philosophers, psychotherapists and practitioners looking for further research on Theory of Mind. The book will also be of relevance to those interested in autism, since it offers a new approach to Theory of Mind which explains central symptoms in autistic subjects. A definitive guide to the growing field of behavioral finance This reliable resource provides a comprehensive view of behavioral finance and its psychological foundations, as well as its applications to finance. Comprising contributed chapters written by distinguished authors from some of the most influential firms and universities in the world, Behavioral Finance provides a synthesis of the most essential elements of this discipline, including psychological concepts and behavioral biases, the behavioral aspects of asset pricing, asset allocation, and market prices, as well as investor behavior, corporate managerial behavior, and social influences. Uses a structured approach to put behavioral finance in perspective Relies on recent research findings to provide guidance through the maze of theories and concepts Discusses the impact of sub-optimal financial decisions on the efficiency of capital markets, personal wealth, and the performance of corporations Behavioral finance has quickly become part of mainstream

finance. If you need to gain a better understanding of this topic, look no further than this book. Heuristics and BiasesThe Psychology of Intuitive JudgmentCambridge University Press Amos Tversky and Daniel Kahneman's 1974 paper 'Judgement Under Uncertainty: Heuristics and Biases' is a landmark in the history of psychology. Though a mere seven pages long, it has helped reshape the study of human rationality, and had a particular impact on economics where Tversky and Kahneman's work helped shape the entirely new sub discipline of 'behavioral economics.' The paper investigates human decision-making, specifically what human brains tend to do when we are forced to deal with uncertainty or complexity. Based on experiments carried out with volunteers. Tversky and Kahneman discovered that humans make predictable errors of judgement when forced to deal with ambiguous evidence or make challenging decisions. These errors stem from 'heuristics' and 'biases' - mental shortcuts and assumptions that allow us to make swift, automatic decisions, often usefully and correctly, but occasionally to our detriment. The paper's huge influence is due in no small part to its masterful use of high-level interpretative and analytical skills - expressed in Tversky and Kahneman's concise and clear definitions of the basic heuristics and biases they discovered. Still providing the foundations of new work in the field 40 years later, the two psychologists' definitions are a model of how good interpretation underpins incisive critical thinking. Now you can offer your students a structured, applied approach to behavioral finance with the first academic text of its kind--Ackert/Deaves' BEHAVIORAL FINANCE: PSYCHOLOGY. DECISION MAKING, AND MARKETS. This comprehensive text--ideal for your behavioral finance elective-- links finance theory and practice to human behavior. The book begins by building upon the established, conventional principles of finance that students have already learned in their principles course. The authors then move into psychological principles of behavioral finance, including heuristics and biases, overconfidence, emotion and social forces. Students learn how human behavior influences the decisions of individual investors and professional finance practitioners, managers, and markets. Your students gain a strong understanding of how social forces impact people's choices. The book clearly explains what behavioral finance indicates about observed market outcomes as well as how psychological biases potentially impact the behavior of managers. Students learn the implications of behavioral finance on retirement, pensions, education, debiasing, and client management. This book is unique as it spends a significant amount of time examining how behavioral finance can be used effectively by practitioners today. The book's solid academic approach provides opportunities for students to utilize theory and complete applications in every chapter. A wide variety of end-of-chapter exercises, discussion questions, simulations and experiments reinforce the book's applied approach, while useful instructor supplements ensure you have the resources to clearly present theories of behavioral finance and their applications. Important Notice: Media content referenced within the product description or the product text may not be available in the ebook version.

There is abundant evidence that most people, often in spite of their conscious beliefs, values and attitudes, have implicit biases. 'Implicit bias' is a term of art referring to evaluations of social groups that are largely outside conscious awareness or control. These evaluations are typically thought to involve associations between social groups and concepts or roles like 'violent,' 'lazy,' 'nurturing,' 'assertive,' 'scientist,' and so on. Such associations result at least in part from common stereotypes found in contemporary liberal societies about members of these groups. Implicit Bias and Philosophy brings the work of leading philosophers and psychologists together to explore core areas of psychological research on implicit (or unconscious) bias, as well as the ramifications of implicit bias for core areas of philosophy. Volume 2: Moral Responsibility, Structural Injustice, and Ethics is comprised of three sections. 'Moral Responsibility for Implicit Bias' contains chapters examining the relationship of implicit biases to concepts that are central to moral responsibility, including control, awareness, reasons-

responsiveness, and alienation. The chapters in the second section—'Structural Injustice'—explore the connections between the implicit biases held by individuals and the structural injustices of the societies in which they are situated. And finally, the third section—'The Ethics of Implicit Bias: Theory and Practice'—contains chapters examining strategies for implicit attitude change, the ramifications of research on implicit bias for philosophers working in ethics, and suggestions for combatting implicit biases in the fields of philosophy and law. This volume can be read independently of, or in conjunction with, Volume I: Metaphysics and Epistemology, which addresses key metaphysical and epistemological questions on implicit bias, including the effect of implicit bias on scientific research, gender stereotypes in philosophy, and the role of heuristics in biased reasoning.

This book, first published in 2002, compiles psychologists' best attempts to answer important questions about intuitive judgment.

???(1889-1974),????????????

All of us use heuristics--that is, we reach conclusions using shorthand cues without using or analyzing all of the available information. Heuristics pervade all aspects of life, from the most mundane practices to more important ones, like economic decision making and politics. People may decide how fast to drive merely by mimicking others around them or decide in which safety project to invest public resources based on the past disasters most readily called to mind. Not surprisingly, opinions vary about our tendency to use heuristics. The 'heuristics and biases' school argues that the practice often leads to outcomes that are not ideal: people act on too little information, make incorrect assumptions, and don't understand the consequences of their actions. The 'fast and frugal' school contends that while mistakes will inevitably occur, the benefits generally outweigh the costs--not only because using heuristics enables us to reach judgments given realistic constraints of time and attention, but because heuristics users often outperform those using more conventionally rational methods. In The Heuristics Debate, Mark Kelman takes a step back from the chaos of competing academic debates to consider what we have learned--and still need to learn--about the way people actually make decisions. In doing so, Kelman uncovers a powerful tool for understanding the relationship between human reasoning and public policy. Can we figure out more optimal modes of disclosure to consumers or better rules of evidence and jury instructions if we understand more accurately how people process information? Can we figure out how best to increase compliance with law if we understand how people make decisions about whether or not to comply? Alongside a penetrating analysis of the various schools of thought on heuristics, Kelman offers a comprehensive account of how distinct conceptions of the role and nature of heuristic reasoning shape--and misshape--law and policy in America. The Heuristics Debate is a groundbreaking work that will change how we think about the relationship between human psychology, the law, and public policy.

The Biased BrainMan is a 'Rational Animal'. Right? Wrong! Research in economics and psychology has shown that human beings are systematically biased. They misjudge situations in fairly regular patterns. In the urgency of the

existential pursuit we often deploy shortcuts and fall prey to errors of judgment. Stronger Biases and Weaker Biases? These shortcuts and errors of judgment, known as heuristics and biases, affect all of us. Some of them occur frequently and better known. Others occur less frequently and are lesser known. But their effect is the same - to impair our judgment when they occur. Knowing is Half the Battle WonThe financial crisis of the 2000s brought cognitive biases to common knowledge. Many of us know the most frequently occurring cognitive biases. But what about the less frequently occurring ones? Knowing them can prime us to identify them faster and plan an intervention. As they say 'know thy enemy'. Know Thy EnemyThis compilation presents 101 less frequently occurring biases and heuristics. Understanding how they work (Bias-in-Action) can help avoid these biases, and at times even use their presence in our competitors to our advantage. Read on....

Thirty-five chapters describe various judgmental heuristics and the biases they produce, not only in laboratory experiments, but in important social, medical, and political situations as well. Most review multiple studies or entire subareas rather than describing single experimental studies.

Copyright: 1e3a566aaac94a72cfc979075e6bd801